1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
3	STANDARD IRON WORKS, on behalf)
4	of itself and all others) similarly situated,)
5	Plaintiffs, No. 08 C 5214
6	-vs- Chicago, Illinois
7	October 30, 2008 ARCELORMITTAL, et al., 11:50 a.m.
8	Defendants.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL
11	APPEARANCES:
12	
13	For the Plaintiffs: FINE, KAPLAN & BLACK BY: MR. ALLEN D. BLACK
14	MR.MATTHEW DUNCAN 1835 Market St., 28th Floor
15	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-567-6565
16	KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD, EVANS
17	& FIGEL BY: MR. MARK C. HANSEN
18	1615 M. Street, N.W., Sumner Square, Suite 400
19	Washington, D.C. 20036-3209 202-326-7904
20	Court Reporter:
21	MARY C. KELLY, CSR Contract Court Reporter
22	United States District Court 219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2144-A
23	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (708) 769-0950
24	(100) 100
25	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2		EDEED MANNED LONDON O MILEN
3 4		FREED, KANNER, LONDON & MILEN BY: MR. STEVEN A. KANNER 2201 Waukegan Road, Suite 130 Bannockburn, Illinois 60015
		224-632-4500
5	For Defendant	MAYER BROWN
6	Arcelormittal USA:	BY: MR. ANDREW S. MAROVITZ 71 S. Wacker Drive
7		Chicago, Illinois 60606 312-782-0600
8	For Defendant	REED SMITH
9	United States Steel:	BY: MR. JONATHAN S. QUINN 10 S. Wacker, 40th Floor
10		Chicago, Illinois 60606 312-207-6443
11	For Defendant	WINSTON & STRAWN
12	Nucor Corp:	BY: MR. TODD J. EHLMAN
13		35 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601-9703 312-558-5600
14	For Defendant	EIMER, STAHL, KLEVORN & SOLBERG
15	Gerdau Ameristeel Corp.:	BY: MR. ANDREW G. KLEVORN
16		224 S. Michigan, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60604 312-660-7600
17	For Defendant	McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
18	Steel Dynamics:	BY: MS. ÁMANDA JO METTS
19		227 W. Monroe, Suite 4400 Chicago, Illinois 60606
20		312-984-7748
21	For Defendant AK Steel Holding:	FIGLIULO & SILVERMAN BY: MR. GREGORY L. STELZER
22		10 S. LaSalle, Suite 3600 Chicago, Illinois 60603
23		312-251-4600
24		
25		

1 (Proceedings heard in open court.) 2 THE CLERK: 2008 C 5214, Standard Iron Works versus 3 Arcelormittal, et al. 4 MR. KANNER: Good morning, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I start from that end. You might not 6 have known that. Okav. 7 MR. STELZER: Gregory Stelzer, your Honor, local 8 counsel for Defendant AK Steel Holding Company. MR. KLEVORN: Andrew Klevorn on behalf of Gerdau 9 10 Ameristeel. 11 MS. METTS: Amanda Metts for Steel Dynamics. 12 MR. QUINN: Jonathan Quinn for defendant United 13 States Steel Corporation. 14 MR. MAROVITZ: Andv Marovitz for defendant 15 Areclormittal USA. 16 MR. KANNER: Good morning, your Honor. Steve 17 Kanner on behalf of Standard Iron Works and other plaintiffs. 18 MR. BLACK: Allen Black, Fine, Kaplan & Black in 19 Philadelphia, on behalf of Standard Iron Works and the 20 plaintiffs. 21 MR. DUNCAN: Good morning, your Honor. Matthew 22 Duncan, Fine, Kaplan & Black, for the plaintiffs. 23 MR. HANSEN: Mark Hansen, Kellogg Huber, for the 24 plaintiffs, your Honor. Good morning. 25 MR. EHLMAN: And Todd Ehlman for defendant Nucor

Corporation. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. KANNER: Good morning, your Honor. Steve 4 Kanner, Freed, Kanner, London & Millen. We're here on behalf 5 of plaintiffs with two motions this morning. The first is 6 for a case management order. The other is for the motion to 7 appoint interim class counsel and liaison counsel. 8 To address those matters, your Honor, I'd like to 9 present the two prospective appointees as interim class 10 counsel. And to my left is Allen Black of Fine, Kaplan & 11 Black in Philadelphia. I'm quite proud to introduce him. 12 He's a personal friend and an exceptional lawyer. And to his 13 level is Mark Hansen from Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans & Figel of Washington, D.C., also an exceptional anti-trust 14 15 lawyer. 16 And with that, your Honor, if you'd care to hear 17 argument on the motion for appointment of class counsel, 18 which has been briefed, we're happy to do that. 19 THE COURT: A few sentences are fine. 20 MR. BLACK: I'll make it less than a few sentences, 21 your Honor. I believe you know Mr. Hansen from the --22 THE COURT: From a very long case. 23 MR. BLACK: I hope you have happy memories of that. 24 And I have not had the honor of appearing before you. 25 Both motions are uncontested, your Honor, and

rather than argue or take up your time, I would just like to offer, on behalf of all of us, to answer any questions you might have.

THE COURT: Anyone from the other side wants to address this?

MR. MAROVITZ: Your Honor, just so the record is clear, Andy Marovitz for Arcelormittal USA. We have no position whatsoever on the motion for appointment of interim class counsel. We will at the appropriate time address any motion for class certification that arises.

THE COURT: Yes. In my reading of these papers, I understand that we are doing what we used to call lead counsel, and now, to avoid insulting other lawyers, liaison counsel, and we're doing this before a class has been certified, and, basically, I'm being told it's probably a good idea to do in this case because I have no competitors out there for the title of liaison counsel.

I took a look at this, and it's fine with me. So I will make the appointment of interim liaison counsel bearing in mind that you do run the risk that some unquestionably brilliant competitor will come forward and seek to replace you, but you don't look too worried about that.

MR. BLACK: We're not too worried.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLACK: We'll be less worried after you sign

the order. 1 THE COURT: 2 Right. 3 Anything else we have to deal with? 4 Somebody going to actually tell me a little about 5 the case? It's always helpful, I think. 6 MR. BLACK: Sure. The complaint alleges a 7 conspiracy to restrict production in the steel industry in 8 the period from -- starting in 2005 until now as far as we 9 know with the concomitant natural result of driving prices up 10 and having been done for that purpose. 11 If you look at the complaint, it really lays out 12 the factual basis for those allegations in great detail, and 13 that's really the essence of the case. It's, we think, 14 somewhat similar to the Linerboard case that was in the Third 15 Circuit a while ago, and that's the kernel of it. 16 THE COURT: Right. Do you have some kind of 17 estimate as to what kind of effort it's going to take to do 18 the discovery in this case? 19 MR. BLACK: Quite substantial, I think. 20 THE COURT: Has anybody thought about a possible 21 timeline for this case? 22 MR. BLACK: I think we've begun to think about 23 that, but, you know, we're talking, I'm sure, something like 24 18 months or a couple years probably. We haven't really 25 talked about it. Does that seem like something that makes

sense, Andy?

MR. MAROVITZ: Your Honor, I think that may be slightly premature.

We spent a good deal of time over the past weeks discussing organization of the cases, so there are several cases in front of you. Right now, there are at least four that have been affirmatively reassigned. There is one indirect case that was part of your original order that, for some reason, has not been reassigned to the Court. That is Supreme Auto Transport, and the executive -- the case number is 08 C 5468. It's before Judge Castillo. And it was part of the original joint motion, but it just hasn't landed here yet. My suspicion is it's simply an oversight by the clerk, but I wanted to point that out for the Court.

There also are a couple other more recent cases that have been filed that will land here. So we've spent some time organizing those.

Part of the case management order, number one, that we presented the Court for purposes of bringing all the cases together provides a time until February 6th for the defendants to answer, move or otherwise respond. That was a negotiated timeline that includes the -- if the Court enters it, the waiver of service of summons on some defendants -- on all defendants, including some that are overseas.

So we intend to spend over the next several weeks

negotiating things like preservation order that's already -- discussions have already begun on that, protective order, et cetera, to get everything lined up because I think it's premature at this point early in the case to figure out exactly what discovery will be necessary.

It's our view, of course, that we may likely be moving to dismiss, in which case our position will be no discovery is necessary unless part of the motion is denied.

MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, if I might, I think it's an excellent question as to the timeline. If your Honor were inclined to sign the order we've presented and allow us to take the position we believe we should take, we think we could negotiate with Mr. Marovitz and his colleagues and come back to your Honor in two weeks, three weeks with a better idea of the next steps going forward.

We've negotiated with them and agreed upon a response date as Mr. Marovitz correctly states, and you'd have to be blind not to see that they're all going to try to consider motions to dismiss. That said, we expect to make progress in the case, and we expect to move the case forward expeditiously.

So it might be helpful if the Court were to set another conference now with the direction to all counsel to come back and have a better plan as to what's going to happen going forward.

THE COURT: My inclination is to do that, and my inclination is -- and, basically, you seem to have asked for this, and that is to deal with this with respect to the master docket in roughly the same way we deal with MDL cases, and for better or for worse, I have a lot of familiarity with MDL cases. So we can do that.

I thought the stuff that was proposed to me was fine, so I'm thinking the 21st of November. I'm in Washington the 18th, 19th and 20th of that week, but I'll be back here on the 21st, and we can talk then.

In the interim, you can act as though I have actually affixed my signature to the case management order and to the appointment of interim counsel -- interim liaison counsel.

Anything else?

MR. MAROVITZ: What time on the 21st would you like us?

THE COURT: The noon hour, so if we have to take some time, we'll be able to do it.

MR. KANNER: Your Honor, as a minor housekeeping matter, while I believe Mr. Hansen is a member of this District Court and authorized to practice before it, the Fine, Kaplan firm has filed their pro hac motions, but there has been no rulings thus far.

THE COURT: Leave is granted.

1	MR. KANNER: Thank you.		
2	MR. MAROVITZ: Judge, one quick question. Would		
3	you like for defense counsel to appear in each separate case		
4	that's being consolidated in the master case or if we simply		
5	appear in the main consolidated case, would that be		
6	sufficient?		
7	THE COURT: Appearance in the main consolidated		
8	case will be sufficient. If it turns out that I'm wrong		
9	about this, it's not a particularly difficult problem to		
10	repair.		
11	Thanks, counsel.		
12	MR. MAROVITZ: Thank you very much.		
13	MR. HANSEN: Thanks, your Honor.		
14	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)		
15	CERTIFICATE		
16	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript		
17	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.		
18			
19	/s/Mary C. Kelly November 12, 2008		
20			
21	Mary C. Kelly Date		
22	Contract Court Reporter		
23			
24			
25			